arXiv:1410.0518v2 [math.CV] 5 May 2015

THIN SEQUENCES AND THEIR ROLE IN MODEL SPACES AND DOUGLAS ALGEBRAS

PAMELA GORKIN† AND BRETT D. WICK‡

Abstract. We study thin interpolating sequences $\{\lambda_n\}$ and their relationship to interpolation in the Hardy space H^2 and the model spaces $K_\Theta = H^2 \ominus \Theta H^2$, where Θ is an inner function. Our results, phrased in terms of the functions that do the interpolation as well as Carleson measures, show that under the assumption that $\Theta(\lambda_n) \to 0$ the interpolation properties in H^2 are essentially the same as those in K_Θ.

1. Introduction and Motivation

A sequence $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is an interpolating sequence for H^∞, the space of bounded analytic functions, if for every $w \in \ell^\infty$ there is a function $f \in H^\infty$ such that

$$f(z_j) = w_j, \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Carleson's interpolation theorem says that $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is an interpolating sequence for H^∞ if and only if

$$\delta = \inf_j \delta_j := \inf_j |B_j(\lambda_j)| = \inf_j \prod_{k\neq j} \left| \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_k}{1 - \overline{\lambda}_j \lambda_k} \right| > 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$B_j(z) := \prod_{k\neq j} \frac{-\overline{\lambda_k}}{|\lambda_k|} \frac{z - \lambda_k}{1 - \overline{\lambda}_k z}$$

denotes the Blaschke product vanishing on the set of points $\{\lambda_k : k \neq j\}$.

In this paper, we consider sequences that (eventually) satisfy a stronger condition than (1.1). A sequence $\{\lambda_j\} \subset \mathbb{D}$ is *thin* if

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \delta_j := \lim_{j\to\infty} \prod_{k\neq j} \left| \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_k}{1 - \overline{\lambda}_k \lambda_j} \right| = 1.$$

Thin sequences are of interest not only because functions solving interpolation for thin interpolating sequences have good bounds on the norm, but also because they are interpolating sequences for a very small algebra: the algebra $QA = VMO \cap H^\infty$, where VMO is the space of functions on the unit circle with vanishing mean oscillation [24].

Continuing work in [3] and [10], we are interested in understanding these sequences in different settings. This will require two definitions that are motivated by the work of Shapiro

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 46E22. Secondary: 30D55, 47A, 46B15.

Key words and phrases. reproducing kernel, thin sequences, interpolation, asymptotic orthonormal sequence.

† Research supported in part by Simons Foundation Grant 243653.

‡ Research supported in part by a National Science Foundation DMS grant # 0955432.

and Shields, [20], in which they gave the appropriate conditions for a sequence to be interpolating for the Hardy space H^2.

Considering more general Hilbert spaces will require the introduction of reproducing kernels: In a reproducing kernel Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ (see [1, p. 17]) we let K_{λ_n} denote the kernel corresponding to the point λ_n; that is, for each function in the Hilbert space we have that $f(\lambda_n) = \langle f, K_{\lambda_n}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$. If we have an ℓ^2 sequence $a = \{a_n\}$, we define

$$\|a\|_{N,\ell^2} = \left(\sum_{j\geq N} |a_j|^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

The concepts of interest are the following.

A sequence $\{\lambda_n\} \subset \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ is said to be *an eventual 1-interpolating sequence for a reproducing kernel Hilbert space* $\mathcal{H}$, denoted $EIS_{\mathcal{H}}$, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists N such that for each $\{a_n\} \in \ell^2$ there exists $f_{N,a} \in \mathcal{H}$ with

$$f_{N,a}(\lambda_n)\,\|K_{\lambda_n}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{-1} = f_{N,a}(\lambda_n)K_{\lambda_n}(\lambda_n)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = a_n \text{ for } n \geq N \text{ and } \|f_{N,a}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq (1+\varepsilon)\|a\|_{N,\ell^2}.$$

A sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ is said to be a *strong asymptotic interpolating sequence for* $\mathcal{H}$, denoted $AIS_{\mathcal{H}}$, if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists N such that for all sequences $\{a_n\} \in \ell^2$ there exists a function $G_{N,a} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\|G_{N,a}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|a\|_{N,\ell^2}$ and

$$\|\{G_{N,a}(\lambda_n)K_{\lambda_n}(\lambda_n)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - a_n\}\|_{N,\ell^2} < \varepsilon\|a\|_{N,\ell^2}.$$

Given a (nonconstant) inner function Θ, we are interested in these sequences in model spaces; we define the model space for Θ an inner function by $K_\Theta = H^2 \ominus \Theta H^2$. The reproducing kernel in K_Θ for $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ is

$$K_{\lambda_0}^{\Theta}(z) = \frac{1 - \overline{\Theta(\lambda_0)}\Theta(z)}{1 - \overline{\lambda_0}z}$$

and the normalized reproducing kernel is

$$k_{\lambda_0}^{\Theta}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{1-|\lambda_0|^2}{1-|\Theta(\lambda_0)|^2}}K_{\lambda_0}^{\Theta}(z).$$

Finally, note that

$$K_{\lambda_0} = K_{\lambda_0}^{\Theta} + \Theta\overline{\Theta(\lambda_0)}K_{\lambda_0}.$$

We let P_Θ denote the orthogonal projection of H^2 onto K_Θ.

We consider thin sequences in these settings as well as in Douglas algebras: Letting L^∞ denote the algebra of essentially bounded measurable functions on the unit circle, a Douglas algebra is a closed subalgebra of L^∞ containing H^∞. It is a consequence of work of Chang and Marshall that a Douglas algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is equal to the closed algebra generated by H^∞ and the conjugates of the interpolating Blaschke products invertible in $\mathcal{B}$, [4,15].

In this paper, we continue work started in [9] and [10] investigating the relationship between thin sequences, $EIS_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $AIS_{\mathcal{H}}$ where $\mathcal{H}$ is a model space or the Hardy space H^2. In Section 3, we consider the notion of eventually interpolating and asymptotic interpolating sequences in the model space setting. We show that in reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces of analytic functions on domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$, these two are the same. Given results in [10], this is not surprising and the proofs are similar to those in the H^∞ setting. We then turn to our main result of that section. If we have a Blaschke sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ and assume that our inner function Θ satisfies $|\Theta(\lambda_n)| \to 0$, then a sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ is an EIS_{K_Θ} sequence if and

only if it is an EIS_{H^2} sequence (and therefore AIS_{K_Θ} sequence if and only if it is an AIS_{H^2}). In Section 3.3 we rephrase these properties in terms of the Carleson embedding constants on the model spaces. Finally, in Section 4, we recall the definition of Douglas algebras and show that appropriate definitions and conditions are quite different in that setting.

2. Preliminaries

Recall that a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ is *complete* if $\text{Span}\{x_n : n \geq 1\} = \mathcal{H}$, and *asymptotically orthonormal* (AOS) if there exists N_0 such that for all $N \geq N_0$ there are positive constants c_N and C_N such that

$$c_N \sum_{n\geq N} |a_n|^2 \leq \left\| \sum_{n\geq N} a_n x_n \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq C_N \sum_{n\geq N} |a_n|^2, \tag{2.1}$$

where $c_N \to 1$ and $C_N \to 1$ as $N \to \infty$. If we can take $N_0 = 1$, the sequence is said to be an AOB; this is equivalent to being AOS and a Riesz sequence. Finally, the Gram matrix corresponding to $\{x_j\}$ is the matrix $G = (\langle x_n, x_m\rangle)_{n,m\geq 1}$.

It is well known that if $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a Blaschke sequence with simple zeros and corresponding Blaschke product B, then $\{k_{\lambda_n}\}$, where

$$k_{\lambda_n}(z) = \frac{(1-|\lambda_n|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1-\overline{\lambda_n} z)},$$

is a complete minimal system in K_B and we also know that $\{\lambda_n\}$ is interpolating if and only if $\{k_{\lambda_n}\}$ is a Riesz basis. The following beautiful theorem provides the connection to thin sequences.

Theorem 2.1 (Volberg, [23, Theorem 2])**.** *The following are equivalent:*

1. $\{\lambda_n\}$ *is a thin interpolating sequence;*
2. *The sequence* $\{k_{\lambda_n}\}$ *is a complete AOB in* K_B*;*
3. *There exist a separable Hilbert space* $\mathcal{K}$*, an orthonormal basis* $\{e_n\}$ *for* $\mathcal{K}$ *and* $U, K : \mathcal{K} \to K_B$*,* U *unitary,* K *compact,* $U + K$ *invertible, such that*

$$(U + K)(e_n) = k_{\lambda_n} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In [7, Section 3] and [3, Proposition 3.2], the authors note that [23, Theorem 3] implies the following.

Proposition 2.2. *Let* $\{x_n\}$ *be a sequence in* $\mathcal{H}$*. The following are equivalent:*

1. $\{x_n\}$ *is an AOB;*
2. *There exist a separable Hilbert space* $\mathcal{K}$*, an orthonormal basis* $\{e_n\}$ *for* $\mathcal{K}$ *and* $U, K : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{H}$*,* U *unitary,* K *compact,* $U + K$ *left invertible, such that*

$$(U + K)(e_n) = x_n;$$

3. *The Gram matrix* G *associated to* $\{x_n\}$ *defines a bounded invertible operator of the form* $I + K$ *with* K *compact.*

We also have the following, which we will use later in this paper.

Proposition 2.3 (Proposition 5.1, [3])**.** *If* $\{\lambda_n\}$ *is a sequence of distinct points in* $\mathbb{D}$ *and* $\{k^\Theta_{\lambda_n}\}$ *is an AOS, then* $\{\lambda_n\}$ *is a thin interpolating sequence.*

Theorem 2.4 (Theorem 5.2, [3]). *Suppose* $\sup_{n\geq 1}|\Theta(\lambda_n)|<1$. *If* $\{\lambda_n\}$ *is a thin interpolating sequence, then either*

(i) $\{k_{\lambda_n}^{\Theta}\}_{n\geq 1}$ *is an AOB or*

(ii) there exists $p\geq 2$ *such that* $\{k_{\lambda_n}^{\Theta}\}_{n\geq p}$ *is a complete AOB in* K_Θ.

3. Hilbert Space Versions

3.1. **Asymptotic and Eventual Interpolating Sequences.** Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a reproducing kernel Hilbert space of analytic functions over a domain $\Omega\subset\mathbb{C}^n$ with reproducing kernel K_λ at the point $\lambda\in\Omega$. We define two properties that a sequence $\{\lambda_n\}\subset\Omega$ can have.

Definition 3.1. *A sequence* $\{\lambda_n\}\subset\Omega$ *is an* eventual 1-interpolating sequence for $\mathcal{H}$, *denoted* $EIS_{\mathcal{H}}$, *if for every* $\varepsilon>0$ *there exists* N *such that for each* $\{a_n\}\in\ell^2$ *there exists* $f_{N,a}\in\mathcal{H}$ *with*

$$f_{N,a}(\lambda_n)\,\|K_{\lambda_n}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{-1}=f_{N,a}(\lambda_n)K_{\lambda_n}(\lambda_n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}=a_n \text{ for } n\geq N \text{ and } \|f_{N,a}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\leq(1+\varepsilon)\|a\|_{N,\ell^2}.$$

Definition 3.2. *A sequence* $\{\lambda_n\}\subset\Omega$ *is a* strong asymptotic interpolating sequence for $\mathcal{H}$, *denoted* $AIS_{\mathcal{H}}$, *if for all* $\varepsilon>0$ *there exists* N *such that for all sequences* $\{a_n\}\in\ell^2$ *there exists a function* $G_{N,a}\in\mathcal{H}$ *such that* $\|G_{N,a}\|_{\mathcal{H}}\leq\|a\|_{N,\ell^2}$ *and*

$$\|\{G_{N,a}(\lambda_n)K_{\lambda_n}(\lambda_n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}-a_n\}\|_{N,\ell^2}<\varepsilon\|a\|_{N,\ell^2}.$$

We now wish to prove Theorem 3.4 below. The proof, which is a modification of the proof of the open-mapping theorem, also yields a proof of the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3. *Let* X *and* Y *be Banach spaces and let* $T:X\to Y$ *be a bounded operator and* $\varepsilon>0$. *If*

$$\sup_{\|y\|=1}\inf_{\|x\|\leq 1}\|Tx-y\|<\varepsilon<1,$$

then for all $y\in Y$, *there exists* $x\in X$ *such that* $\|x\|\leq\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}\|y\|$ *and* $Tx=y$.

Theorem 3.4 follows from Proposition 3.3, but doing so requires dealing with several technicalities that obfuscate the underlying ideas, and so we present a direct proof of our desired implication. When we turn to Banach algebras, the corresponding implication (in Theorem 4.5) will be a direct consequence of Proposition 3.3. We thank the referee for pointing out Proposition 3.3 to us.

Theorem 3.4. *Let* $\mathcal{H}$ *be a reproducing kernel space of analytic functions over the domain* $\Omega\subset\mathbb{C}^n$ *with reproducing kernel at the point* λ *given by* K_λ. *Then* $\{\lambda_n\}$ *is an* $EIS_{\mathcal{H}}$ *sequence if and only if* $\{\lambda_n\}$ *is an* $AIS_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Proof. If a sequence is an $EIS_{\mathcal{H}}$, then it is trivially $AIS_{\mathcal{H}}$, for given $\varepsilon>0$ we may take $G_{N,a}=\frac{f_{N,a}}{(1+\varepsilon)}$.

For the other direction, suppose $\{\lambda_n\}$ is an $AIS_{\mathcal{H}}$ sequence. Let $\varepsilon>0$, $N:=N(\varepsilon)$, and $\{a_j\}:=\{a_j^{(0)}\}$ be any sequence. First choose $f_0\in\mathcal{H}$ so that for $n\geq N$ we have

$$\|\{K_{\lambda_n}(\lambda_n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}f_0(\lambda_n)-a_n^{(0)}\}\|_{N,\ell^2}<\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}\|a\|_{N,\ell^2}$$

and

$$\|f_0\|_{\mathcal{H}}\leq\|a\|_{N,\ell^2}.$$

Now let $a_n^{(1)} = a_n^{(0)} - K_{\lambda_n}(\lambda_n)^{-\frac{1}{2}} f_0(\lambda_n)$. Note that $\|a^{(1)}\|_{N,\ell^2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}\|a\|_{N,\ell^2}$. Since we have an $AIS_{\mathcal{H}}$ sequence, we may choose f_1 such that for $n \geq N$ we have

$$\|\{f_1(\lambda_n)K_{\lambda_n}(\lambda_n)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - a_n^{(1)}\}\|_{N,\ell^2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}\|a^{(1)}\|_{N,\ell^2} < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}\right)^2 \|a\|_{N,\ell^2},$$

and

$$\|f_1\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|a^{(1)}\|_{N,\ell^2} < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}\right)\|a\|_{N,\ell^2}.$$

In general, we let

$$a_j^{(k)} = -f_{k-1}(\lambda_j)K_{\lambda_j}(\lambda_j)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + a_j^{(k-1)}$$

so that

$$\|a^{(k)}\|_{N,\ell^2} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}\|a^{(k-1)}\|_{N,\ell^2} \leq \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}\right)^2 \|a^{(k-2)}\|_{N,\ell^2} \leq \cdots \leq \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}\right)^k \|a\|_{N,\ell^2}$$

and

$$\|f_k\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|a^{(k)}\|_{N,\ell^2} < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}\right)^k \|a\|_{N,\ell^2}.$$

Then consider $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k(z)$. Since $f_k(\lambda_j) = \left(a_j^{(k)} - a_j^{(k+1)}\right) K_{\lambda_j}(\lambda_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $a_j^{(k)} \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, we have for each $j \geq N$,

$$f(\lambda_j) = a_j^{(0)} K_{\lambda_j}(\lambda_j)^{\frac{1}{2}} = a_j K_{\lambda_j}(\lambda_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Further $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}\right)^k \|a\|_{N,\ell^2} = \frac{1}{1-\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}}\|a\|_{N,\ell^2} = (1+\varepsilon)\|a\|_{N,\ell^2}$. This proves that $\{\lambda_n\}$ is an $EIS_{\mathcal{H}}$ sequence. □

3.2. **The Hardy and Model Spaces.** We let Θ denote a nonconstant inner function and apply Theorem 3.4 to the reproducing kernel Hilbert space K_Θ. We also include statements and results about Carleson measures. Given a non-negative measure μ on $\mathbb{D}$, let us denote the (possibly infinite) constant

$$\mathcal{C}(\mu) = \sup_{f \in H^2, f \neq 0} \frac{\|f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{D},\mu)}}{\|f\|_2^2}$$

as the Carleson embedding constant of μ on H^2 and

$$\mathcal{R}(\mu) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\|k_z\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D},\mu)}}{\|k_z\|_2} = \sup_z \|k_z\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D},\mu)}$$

as the embedding constant of μ on k_z, the normalized reproducing kernel of H^2. It is well-known that $\mathcal{C}(\mu) \approx \mathcal{R}(\mu)$, [18, 19].

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be an interpolating sequence in $\mathbb{D}$ and let Θ be an inner function. Suppose that $\kappa := \sup_n |\Theta(\lambda_n)| < 1$. The following are equivalent:*

1. *$\{\lambda_n\}$ is an EIS_{H^2} sequence;*
2. *$\{\lambda_n\}$ is a thin interpolating sequence;*
3. *Either*
   - (a) *$\{k_{\lambda_n}^\Theta\}_{n\geq 1}$ is an AOB, or*
   - (b) *there exists $p \geq 2$ such that $\{k_{\lambda_n}^\Theta\}_{n\geq p}$ is a complete AOB in K_Θ;*
4. *$\{\lambda_n\}$ is an AIS_{H^2} sequence;*

(5) *The measure*

$$\mu_N = \sum_{k \geq N} (1 - |\lambda_k|^2) \delta_{\lambda_k}$$

is a Carleson measure for H^2 with Carleson embedding constant $\mathcal{C}(\mu_N)$ satisfying $\mathcal{C}(\mu_N) \to 1$ as $N \to \infty$;

(6) *The measure*

$$\nu_N = \sum_{k \geq N} \frac{(1 - |\lambda_k|^2)}{\delta_k} \delta_{\lambda_k}$$

is a Carleson measure for H^2 with embedding constant $\mathcal{R}_{\nu_N}$ on reproducing kernels satisfying $\mathcal{R}_{\nu_N} \to 1$.

Further, (7) and (8) are equivalent to each other and imply each of the statements above. If, in addition, $\Theta(\lambda_n) \to 0$, then (1) - (8) are equivalent.

(7) *$\{\lambda_n\}$ is an EIS_{K_Θ} sequence;*
(8) *$\{\lambda_n\}$ is an AIS_{K_Θ} sequence.*

Proof. The equivalence between (7) and (8) is contained in Theorem 3.4. Similarly, this applies to (1) and (4). In [10, Theorem 4.5], the authors prove that (2), (5) and (6) are equivalent. The equivalence between (1), (2), and (4) is contained in [10]. That (2) implies (3) is Theorem 2.4. That (3) implies (2) also follows from results in [3], for if a sequence is an AOB for some $p \geq 2$ it is an AOS for $p \geq 2$ and hence thin by Proposition 2.3 for $p \geq 2$. This is, of course, the same as being thin interpolating. Thus, we have the equivalence of equations (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), and (6), as well as the equivalence of (7) and (8).

Now we show that (7) and (1) are equivalent under the hypothesis that $\Theta(\lambda_n) \to 0$.

(7)$\Rightarrow$(1). Suppose that $\{\lambda_n\}$ is an EIS_{K_Θ} sequence. We will prove that this implies it is an EIS_{H^2} sequence, establishing (1).

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Choose $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$ and let $N_1 = N(\varepsilon')$ be chosen according to the definition of $\{\lambda_n\}$ being an EIS_{K_Θ} sequence. Recall that

$$\kappa_m = \sup_{n \geq m} |\Theta(\lambda_n)| \to 0,$$

so we may assume that we have chosen N_1 so large that

$$\frac{1 + \varepsilon'}{(1 - \kappa_{N_1}^2)^{1/2}} < 1 + \varepsilon.$$

Define $\{\tilde{a}_n\}$ to be 0 if $n < N_1$ and $\tilde{a}_n = a_n \left(1 - |\Theta(\lambda_n)|^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $n \geq N_1$. Then $\{\tilde{a}_n\} \in \ell^2$. Select $f_a \in K_\Theta \subset H^2$ so that

$$f_a(\lambda_n) \left(\frac{1 - |\Theta(\lambda_n)|^2}{1 - |\lambda_n|^2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \tilde{a}_n = a_n \left(1 - |\Theta(\lambda_n)|^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{ if } n \geq N_1$$

and

$$\|f_a\| \le (1+\varepsilon')\|\tilde{a}\|_{N_1,\ell^2} \le \frac{(1+\varepsilon')}{(1-\kappa_{N_1}^2)^{1/2}}\|a\|_{N_1,\ell^2} < (1+\varepsilon)\|a\|_{N_1,\ell^2}.$$

Since $f_a \in K_\Theta$, we have that $f_a \in H^2$, and canceling out the common factor yields that $f_a(\lambda_n)(1-|\lambda_n|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = a_n$ for all $n \ge N_1$. Thus $\{\lambda_n\}$ is an EIS_{H^2} sequence as claimed.

(1)⇒(7). Suppose that $\Theta(\lambda_n) \to 0$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is an EIS_{H^2} sequence; equivalently, that $\{\lambda_n\}$ is thin. We want to show that the sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ is an EIS_{K_Θ} sequence. First we present some observations.

First, looking at the definition, we see that we may assume that $\varepsilon > 0$ is small, for any choice of N that works for small ε also works for larger values.

Second, if $f \in H^2$ and we let $\tilde{f} = P_{K_\Theta} f$, then we have that $\left\|\tilde{f}\right\|_2 \le \|f\|_2$ since P_{K_Θ} is an orthogonal projection. Next, we have $P_{K_\Theta} = P_+ - \Theta P_+ \overline{\Theta}$, where P_+ is the orthogonal projection of L^2 onto H^2, so letting $T_{\overline{\Theta}}$ denote the Toeplitz operator with symbol $\overline{\Theta}$ we have

$$\tilde{f}(z) = f(z) - \Theta(z) T_{\overline{\Theta}}(f)(z). \tag{3.1}$$

In what follows, $\kappa_m := \sup_{n\ge m} |\Theta(\lambda_n)|$ and recall that we assume that $\kappa_m \to 0$.

Since $\{\lambda_n\}$ is an EIS_{H^2} sequence, there exists N_1 such that for any $a \in \ell^2$ there exists a function $f_0 \in H^2$ such that

$$f_0(\lambda_n) = a_n \left(\frac{1-|\Theta(\lambda_n)|^2}{1-|\lambda_n|^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ for all } n \ge N_1$$

and

$$\|f_0\|_2 \le (1+\varepsilon)\left\|\{a_k(1-|\Theta(\lambda_k)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\}\right\|_{N_1,\ell^2} \le (1+\varepsilon)\|a\|_{N_1,\ell^2}.$$

Here we have applied the EIS_{H^2} property to the sequence $\{a_k(1-|\Theta(\lambda_k)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\} \in \ell^2$. By (3.1) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{f}_0(\lambda_k) &= f_0(\lambda_k) - \Theta(\lambda_k) T_{\overline{\Theta}}(f_0)(\lambda_k) \\ &= a_k(1-|\Theta(\lambda_k)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-|\lambda_k|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \Theta(\lambda_k) T_{\overline{\Theta}}(f_0)(\lambda_k) \quad \forall k \ge N_1\end{aligned}$$

and $\left\|\tilde{f}_0\right\|_2 \le \|f_0\|_2 \le (1+\varepsilon)\|a\|_{N_1,\ell^2}$. Rearranging the above, for $k \ge N_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\tilde{f}_0(\lambda_k)(1-|\Theta(\lambda_k)|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1-|\lambda_k|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - a_k\right| &= \left|\Theta(\lambda_k) T_{\overline{\Theta}}(f_0)(\lambda_k)(1-|\Theta(\lambda_k)|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1-|\lambda_k|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right| \\ &\le \kappa_{N_1}(1-\kappa_{N_1}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|f_0\|_2 \\ &\le (1+\varepsilon)\kappa_{N_1}(1-\kappa_{N_1}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|a\|_{N_1,\ell^2}.\end{aligned}$$

We claim that $\{a_n^{(1)}\} = \{\tilde{f}_0(\lambda_n)(1-|\Theta(\lambda_n)|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1-|\lambda_n|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - a_n\} \in \ell^2$ and that there is a constant N_2 depending only on ε and the Carleson measure given by the thin sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ such that

$$\left\|a^{(1)}\right\|_{N_2,\ell^2} \le (1+\varepsilon)^2\kappa_{N_1}(1-\kappa_{N_1}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|a\|_{N_1,\ell^2}. \tag{3.2}$$

Since the sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ is thin and distinct, it hence generates an H^2 Carleson measure with norm at most $(1+\varepsilon)$; that is, we have the existence of $N_2 \geq N_1$ such that $\kappa_{N_2}(1-\kappa_{N_2}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq \kappa_{N_1}(1-\kappa_{N_1}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|a^{(1)}\right\|_{N_2,\ell^2} &= \left(\sum_{k\geq N_2} |\Theta(\lambda_k)|^2 \left|T_{\overline{\Theta}}(f_0)(\lambda_k)\right|^2 (1-|\Theta(\lambda_k)|^2)^{-1}(1-|\lambda_k|^2)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq (1+\varepsilon)\kappa_{N_2}(1-\kappa_{N_2}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\|T_{\overline{\Theta}} f_0\right\|_2 \\
&\leq (1+\varepsilon)\kappa_{N_2}(1-\kappa_{N_2}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\|f_0\right\|_2 \\
&\leq (1+\varepsilon)^2\kappa_{N_1}(1-\kappa_{N_1}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\|a\right\|_{N_1,\ell^2} < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

completing the proof of the claim.

We will now iterate these estimates and ideas. Let $\widetilde{a_n^{(1)}} = -\frac{a_n^{(1)}}{(1+\varepsilon)^2\kappa_{N_1}(1-\kappa_{N_1}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}$ for $n \geq N_2$ and $\widetilde{a_n^{(1)}} = 0$ otherwise. Then from (3.2) we have that $\left\|\widetilde{a^{(1)}}\right\|_{N_1,\ell^2} = \left\|\widetilde{a^{(1)}}\right\|_{N_2,\ell^2} \leq \|a\|_{N_1,\ell^2}$. Since $\{\lambda_n\}$ is an EIS_{H^2} we may choose $f_1 \in H^2$ with

$$f_1(\lambda_n) = \widetilde{a_n^{(1)}}(1-|\Theta(\lambda_n)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-|\lambda_n|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{ for all } n \geq N_1$$

and, letting $\widetilde{f_1} = P_{K_\Theta}(f_1)$, we have

$$\left\|\tilde{f}_1\right\|_2 \leq \|f_1\|_2 \leq (1+\varepsilon)\left\|\widetilde{a^{(1)}}\right\|_{N_1,\ell^2} \leq (1+\varepsilon)\left\|a\right\|_{N_1,\ell^2}.$$

As above,

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{f_1}(\lambda_k) &= f_1(\lambda_k) - \Theta(\lambda_k)T_{\overline{\Theta}}(f_1)(\lambda_k) \\
&= \widetilde{a_k^{(1)}}(1-|\Theta(\lambda_k)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-|\lambda_k|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \Theta(\lambda_k)T_{\overline{\Theta}}(f_1)(\lambda_k) \quad \forall k \geq N_1.
\end{aligned}$$

And, for $k \geq N_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\tilde{f}_1(\lambda_k)(1-|\Theta(\lambda_k)|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1-|\lambda_k|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \widetilde{a_k^{(1)}}\right| &= \left|\Theta(\lambda_k)T_{\overline{\Theta}}(f_1)(\lambda_k)(1-|\Theta(\lambda_k)|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1-|\lambda_k|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right| \\
&\leq \kappa_{N_1}(1-\kappa_{N_1}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left\|f_1\right\|_2 \\
&\leq (1+\varepsilon)\kappa_{N_1}(1-\kappa_{N_1}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left\|a\right\|_{N_1,\ell^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of $\widetilde{a^{(1)}}$, for $k \geq N_2$ one arrives at

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\left((1+\varepsilon)^2\kappa_{N_1}(1-\kappa_{N_1}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{f}_1(\lambda_k) + \tilde{f}_0(\lambda_k)\right)(1-|\Theta(\lambda_k)|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1-|\lambda_k|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - a_k\right| \\
&\qquad \leq (1+\varepsilon)^3\kappa_{N_1}^2(1-\kappa_{N_1}^2)^{-1}\left\|a\right\|_{N_1,\ell^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We continue this procedure, constructing sequences $a^{(j)} \in \ell^2$ and functions $\tilde{f}_j \in K_\Theta$ such that

$$\left\|a^{(j)}\right\|_{N_1,\ell^2} \leq (1+\varepsilon)^{2j}\left(\frac{\kappa_{N_1}}{(1-\kappa_{N_1}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)^j \left\|a\right\|_{N_1,\ell^2},$$

$$\left| \frac{(1-|\lambda_k|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1-|\Theta(\lambda_k)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{j} (1+\varepsilon)^{2l} \left(\frac{\kappa_{N_1}}{(1-\kappa_{N_1}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)^l \tilde{f}_l(\lambda_k) \right) - a_k \right| \leq (1+\varepsilon)^{2j+1} \left(\frac{\kappa_{N_1}}{(1-\kappa_{N_1}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)^{j+1} \|a\|_{N_1,\ell^2},$$

and

$$\left\| \tilde{f}_j \right\|_2 \leq (1+\varepsilon) \|a\|_{N_1,\ell^2} \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Define

$$F = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (1+\varepsilon)^{2j} \left(\frac{\kappa_{N_1}}{(1-\kappa_{N_1}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)^j \tilde{f}_j.$$

Then $F \in K_\Theta$ since each $\tilde{f}_j \in K_\Theta$ and, since $\kappa_m \to 0$, we may assume that

$$(1+\varepsilon)^2 \left(\frac{\kappa_{N_1}}{(1-\kappa_{N_1}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) < 1.$$

So,

$$\|F\|_2 \leq \frac{(1+\varepsilon)}{1-(1+\varepsilon)^2 \left(\frac{\kappa_{N_1}}{\left(1-\kappa_{N_1}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)} \|a\|_{N_1,\ell^2}.$$

For this ε, consider $\varepsilon_M < \varepsilon$ with $\frac{(1+\varepsilon_M)}{1-(1+\varepsilon_M)^2 \left(\frac{\kappa_{N_M}}{\left(1-\kappa_{N_M}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)} < 1+\varepsilon$. Then, using the process above, we obtain F_M satisfying $F_M \in K_\Theta$, $\|F_M\|_2 \leq (1+\varepsilon)\|a\|_{M,\ell^2}$ and $F_M(\lambda_n)\|K_{\lambda_n}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{-1} = a_n$ for $n \geq M$. Taking $N(\varepsilon) = M$, we see that F_M satisfies the exact interpolation conditions, completing the proof of the theorem.

We present an alternate method to prove the equivalence between (1) and (7). As noted above, by Theorem 3.4 it is true that (7) $\Leftrightarrow$ (8) and thus it suffices to prove that (1) $\Rightarrow$ (8) $\Leftrightarrow$ (7). Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Select a sequence $\{\delta_N\}$ with $\delta_N \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$. Since (1) holds, then for large N and $a \in \ell^2$ it is possible to find $f_N \in H^2$ so that

$$f_N(a_n)(1-|\lambda_n|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|a\|_{N,\ell^2}^{-1} a_n \quad n \geq N$$

with $\|f_N\|_2 \leq 1+\delta_N$. Now observe that we can write $f_N = h_N + \Theta g_N$ with $h_N \in K_\Theta$. Since h_N and g_N are orthogonal projections of f_N onto subspaces of H^2, we also have that $\|h_N\|_2 \leq 1+\delta_N$ and similarly for g_N.

By the properties of the functions above we have that:

$$h_N(\lambda_n) \left(\frac{1-|\lambda_n|^2}{1-|\Theta(\lambda_n)|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = f_N(\lambda_n) \left(\frac{1-|\lambda_n|^2}{1-|\Theta(\lambda_n)|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \Theta(\lambda_n) g_N(\lambda_n) \left(\frac{1-|\lambda_n|^2}{1-|\Theta(\lambda_n)|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Hence, one deduces that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\left\{h_N(\lambda_n)\left(\frac{1-|\lambda_n|^2}{1-|\Theta(\lambda_n)|^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}-\frac{a_n}{\|a\|_{N,\ell^2}}\right\}\right\|_{N,\ell^2} &\leq \left\|\left\{f_N(\lambda_n)\left(\frac{1-|\lambda_n|^2}{1-|\Theta(\lambda_n)|^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}-\frac{a_n}{\|a\|_{N,\ell^2}}\right\}\right\|_{N,\ell^2}\\ &\quad+\left\|\left\{\Theta(\lambda_n)g_N(\lambda_n)\left(\frac{1-|\lambda_n|^2}{1-|\Theta(\lambda_n)|^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}\right\|_{N,\ell^2}\\ &\leq \left\|\left\{\frac{a_n}{\|a\|_{N,\ell^2}}\left(\left(\frac{1}{1-|\Theta(\lambda_n)|^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}-1\right)\right\}\right\|_{N,\ell^2}\\ &\quad+\frac{\sup_{m\geq N}|\Theta(\lambda_m)|}{(1-\kappa_N^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left\{g_N(\lambda_n)\left(1-|\lambda_n|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}\right\|_{N,\ell^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Now for x sufficiently small and positive we have that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x}}-1=\frac{1-\sqrt{1-x}}{\sqrt{1-x}}\lesssim\frac{x}{\sqrt{1-x}}$. Applying this with $x=\sup_{m\geq N}|\Theta(\lambda_m)|$ gives that:

$$\left\|\left\{h_N(\lambda_n)\left(\frac{1-|\lambda_n|^2}{1-|\Theta(\lambda_n)|^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}-\frac{a_n}{\|a\|_{N,\ell^2}}\right\}\right\|_{N,\ell^2}\leq\frac{\sup_{m\geq N}|\Theta(\lambda_m)|}{(1-\kappa_N^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(1+\left\|\left\{g_N(\lambda_n)\left(1-|\lambda_n|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}\right\|_{N,\ell^2}\right).$$

Define $H_N=(1+\delta_N)^{-1}\|a\|_{N,\ell^2}h_N$, and then we have $H_N\in K_\Theta$ and $\|H_N\|_2\leq\|a\|_{N,\ell^2}$. Using the last estimate and adding and subtracting the quantity $\frac{a_n}{(1+\delta_N)}$ yields that:

$$\left\|\left\{H_N(\lambda_n)\left(\frac{1-|\lambda_n|^2}{1-|\Theta(\lambda_n)|^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}-a_n\right\}\right\|_{N,\ell^2}\leq$$

$$\left(\frac{\sup_{m\geq N}|\Theta(\lambda_m)|}{(1+\delta_N)(1-\kappa_N^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(1+\left\|\left\{g_N(\lambda_n)\left(1-|\lambda_n|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}\right\|_{N,\ell^2}\right)+\delta_N\right)\|a\|_{N,\ell^2}.$$

Note that the quantity:

$$\left(\frac{\sup_{m\geq N}|\Theta(\lambda_m)|}{(1+\delta_N)(1-\kappa_N^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(1+\left\|\left\{g_N(\lambda_n)\left(1-|\lambda_n|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}\right\|_{N,\ell^2}\right)+\delta_N\right)\lesssim\delta_N+\sup_{m\geq N}|\Theta(\lambda_m)|.$$

Here we have used that the sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ is by hypothesis an interpolating sequence and hence: $\left\|\left\{g_N(\lambda_n)\left(1-|\lambda_n|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}\right\|_{N,\ell^2}\lesssim\|g_N\|_2\leq 1+\delta_N$. Since by hypothesis we have that $\delta_N+\sup_{m\geq N}|\Theta(\lambda_m)|\to 0$ as $N\to\infty$, it is possible to make this less than the given $\varepsilon>0$, and hence we get a function H_N satisfying the properties for $\{\lambda_n\}$ to be AIS_{K_Θ}. □

Remark 3.6. The proof above also gives an estimate on the norm of the interpolating function in the event that $\sup_n|\Theta(\lambda_n)|\leq\kappa<1$, but $(1+\varepsilon)$ is no longer the best estimate.

3.3. **Carleson Measures in Model Spaces.** From Theorem 3.5, (5) and (6), we have a Carleson measure statement for thin sequences in the Hardy space H^2. In this section, we obtain an equivalence in model spaces.

We now consider the embedding constants in the case of model spaces. As before, given a positive measure μ on $\mathbb{D}$, we denote the (possibly infinite) constant

$$\mathcal{C}_\Theta(\mu) = \sup_{f\in K_\Theta, f\neq 0} \frac{\|f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{D},\mu)}}{\|f\|_2^2}$$

as the Carleson embedding constant of μ on K_Θ and

$$\mathcal{R}_\Theta(\mu) = \sup_z \|k_z^\Theta\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{D},\mu)}$$

as the embedding constant of μ on the reproducing kernel of K_Θ (recall that the kernels k_z^Θ are normalized). It is known that for general measure μ the constants $\mathcal{R}_\Theta(\mu)$ and $\mathcal{C}_\Theta(\mu)$ are not equivalent, [16]. The complete geometric characterization of the measures for which $\mathcal{C}_\Theta(\mu)$ is finite is contained in [14]. However, we always have that

$$\mathcal{R}_\Theta(\mu) \leq \mathcal{C}_\Theta(\mu).$$

For $N > 1$, let

$$\sigma_N = \sum_{k\geq N} \left\|K^\Theta_{\lambda_k}\right\|^{-2} \delta_{\lambda_k} = \sum_{k\geq N} \frac{1-|\lambda_k|^2}{1-|\Theta(\lambda_k)|^2}\delta_{\lambda_k}.$$

Note that for each $f \in K_\Theta$

$$\|f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{D},\sigma_N)} = \sum_{k=N}^\infty \frac{(1-|\lambda_k|^2)}{(1-|\Theta(\lambda_k)|^2)}|f(\lambda_k)|^2 = \sum_{k=N}^\infty |\langle f, k^\Theta_{\lambda_k}\rangle|^2, \tag{3.3}$$

and therefore we see that

$$1 \leq \mathcal{R}_\Theta(\sigma_N) \leq \mathcal{C}_\Theta(\sigma_N). \tag{3.4}$$

By working in a restricted setting and imposing a condition on $\{\Theta(\lambda_n)\}$ we have the following.

Theorem 3.7. *Suppose $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence in $\mathbb{D}$ and Θ is a nonconstant inner function such that $\kappa_m := \sup_{n\geq m} |\Theta(\lambda_n)| \to 0$. For $N > 1$, let*

$$\sigma_N = \sum_{k\geq N} \left\|K^\Theta_{\lambda_k}\right\|^{-2} \delta_{\lambda_k} = \sum_{k\geq N} \frac{1-|\lambda_k|^2}{1-|\Theta(\lambda_k)|^2}\delta_{\lambda_k}.$$

Then the following are equivalent:

1. *Λ is a thin sequence;*
2. *$\mathcal{C}_\Theta(\sigma_N) \to 1$ as $N \to \infty$;*
3. *$\mathcal{R}_\Theta(\sigma_N) \to 1$ as $N \to \infty$.*

Proof. We have (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) by testing on the function $f = k_z^\Theta$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, which is nothing more then (3.4).

We next focus on (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Let $f \in K_\Theta$ and let the sequence a be defined by $a_j = \left\|K_{\lambda_j}\right\|^{-1} f(\lambda_j)$. By (3.3), $\|a\|^2_{N,\ell^2} = \|f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{D},\sigma_N)}$, and since $\{k^\Theta_{\lambda_j}\}$ is an AOB, there exists C_N such that

$$\|a\|^2_{N,\ell^2} = \sum_{j\geq N} \left\|K^\Theta_{\lambda_j}\right\|^{-2}_{K_\Theta} |f(\lambda_j)|^2 = \left\langle f, \sum_{j\geq N} a_j k^\Theta_{\lambda_j}\right\rangle_{K_\Theta} \leq \|f\|_2 \left\|\sum_{j\geq N} a_j k^\Theta_{\lambda_j}\right\|_{K_\Theta} \leq C_N \|f\|_2 \|a\|_{N,\ell^2}.$$

By (1) and [3, Theorem 5.2], we know that $C_N \to 1$ and since we have established that $\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D},\sigma_N)} \leq C_N \|f\|_2$, (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) follows.

An alternate way to prove this is to use Theorem 3.5, (2) $\Rightarrow$ (5), and the hypothesis on Θ. Since it is possible to then show that $\frac{\mathcal{C}_\Theta(\sigma_N)}{\mathcal{C}(\mu_N)} \to 1$. Indeed, given $\varepsilon > 0$, we have that $1 \leq \mathcal{C}(\mu_M)$ for all M, and since $\{\lambda_n\}$ is thin there exists a N such that $\mathcal{C}(\mu_M) < 1+\varepsilon$ for all $M \geq N$. Hence, $1 \leq \mathcal{C}(\mu_M) < 1+\varepsilon$ for all $M \geq N$. These facts easily lead to:

$$\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}_\Theta(\sigma_M)}{\mathcal{C}(\mu_M)}$$

Further, since Θ tends to zero on the sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ there is an integer, without loss we may take it to be N, so that $\frac{1}{1-|\Theta(\lambda_n)|^2} < 1+\varepsilon$ for all $n \geq N$. From this we deduce that:

$$\frac{\mathcal{C}_\Theta(\sigma_M)}{\mathcal{C}(\mu_M)} < (1+\varepsilon)\frac{\sup_{f\in K_\theta} \sum_{n\geq M}(1-|\lambda_m|^2)\,|f(\lambda_m)|^2}{\mathcal{C}(\mu_M)} \leq (1+\varepsilon)$$

in the last estimate we used that $K_\Theta \subset H^2$ and so the suprema appearing in the numerator is always at most the expression in the denominator. Combining the estimates we have that for $M \geq N$, that:

$$\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}_\Theta(\sigma_M)}{\mathcal{C}(\mu_M)} < 1+\varepsilon$$

which yields the conclusion about the ratio tending to 1 as $N \to \infty$.

Now consider (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) and compute the quantity $\mathcal{R}_\Theta(\sigma_N)$. In what follows, we let Λ_N denote the tail of sequence, $\Lambda_N = \{\lambda_k : k \geq N\}$. Note that we have $|1-\overline{a}b| \geq 1-|a|$. Using this estimate we see that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} \|k_z^\Theta\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{D},\sigma_N)} &= \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} \sum_{k\geq N} \frac{(1-|\lambda_k|^2)}{(1-|\Theta(\lambda_k)|^2)}\frac{(1-|z|^2)}{(1-|\Theta(z)|^2)}\frac{\left|1-\Theta(z)\overline{\Theta(\lambda_k)}\right|^2}{\left|1-z\overline{\lambda_k}\right|^2} \\
&\geq \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} \sum_{k\geq N} \frac{(1-|\lambda_k|^2)(1-|z|^2)}{\left|1-z\overline{\lambda_k}\right|^2}\frac{(1-|\Theta(z)|)(1-|\Theta(\lambda_k)|)}{(1-|\Theta(z)|^2)(1-|\Theta(\lambda_k)|^2)} \\
&= \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} \sum_{k\geq N} \frac{(1-|\lambda_k|^2)(1-|z|^2)}{\left|1-z\overline{\lambda_k}\right|^2}\frac{1}{(1+|\Theta(z)|)(1+|\Theta(\lambda_k)|)} \\
&\geq \sup_{z\in\Lambda_N} \sum_{k\geq N} \frac{(1-|\lambda_k|^2)(1-|z|^2)}{\left|1-z\overline{\lambda_k}\right|^2}\frac{1}{(1+|\Theta(z)|)(1+|\Theta(\lambda_k)|)} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{(1+\kappa_N)^2} \sup_{z\in\Lambda_N} \sum_{k\geq N} \frac{(1-|\lambda_k|^2)(1-|z|^2)}{\left|1-z\overline{\lambda_k}\right|^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the Weierstrass Inequality, we obtain for $M \geq N$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{k\geq N, k\neq M} \left|\frac{\lambda_k-\lambda_M}{1-\bar{\lambda}_k\lambda_M}\right|^2 &= \prod_{k\geq N, k\neq M}\left(1-\frac{(1-|\lambda_k|^2)(1-|\lambda_M|^2)}{|1-\bar{\lambda}_k\lambda_M|^2}\right) \\
&\geq 1-\sum_{k\geq N, k\neq M}\frac{(1-|\lambda_M|^2)(1-|\lambda_k|^2)}{|1-\bar{\lambda}_k\lambda_M|^2}. \qquad (3.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (3.5) we have for $M \geq N$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{(1+\kappa_N)^2}\sup_{z\in\Lambda_N}\sum_{k\geq N}\frac{(1-|\lambda_k|^2)(1-|z|^2)}{\left|1-z\overline{\lambda_k}\right|^2} &\geq \frac{1}{(1+\kappa_N)^2}\left(\sum_{k\geq N,k\neq M}\frac{(1-|\lambda_k|^2)(1-|\lambda_M|^2)}{\left|1-\lambda_M\overline{\lambda_k}\right|^2}+1\right)\\ &\geq \frac{1}{(1+\kappa_N)^2}\left(1-\prod_{k\geq N,k\neq M}\left|\frac{\lambda_k-\lambda_M}{1-\bar{\lambda}_k\lambda_M}\right|^2+1\right).\end{aligned}$$

Now by assumption, recalling that $\kappa_N := \sup_{n\geq N}|\Theta(\lambda_n)|$, we have

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}\|k_z^\Theta\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{D},\sigma_N)} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{N\to\infty}\kappa_N = 0,$$

so

$$1 = \lim_{N\to\infty}\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}\|k_z^\Theta\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{D},\sigma_N)} \geq \lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{(1+\kappa_N)^2}\left(1-\prod_{k\geq N,k\neq M}\left|\frac{\lambda_k-\lambda_M}{1-\bar{\lambda}_k\lambda_M}\right|^2+1\right)\geq 1.$$

Therefore, for any $M \geq N$

$$\prod_{k\geq N,k\neq M}\left|\frac{\lambda_k-\lambda_M}{1-\bar{\lambda}_k\lambda_M}\right| > 1-\varepsilon \text{ as } N\to\infty. \tag{3.6}$$

Also, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an integer N_0 such that for all $M > N_0$ we have:

$$\prod_{k\geq N_0,k\neq M}\left|\frac{\lambda_k-\lambda_M}{1-\bar{\lambda}_k\lambda_M}\right| > 1-\varepsilon. \tag{3.7}$$

Fix this value of N_0, and consider $k < N_0$. Further, for $k \neq M$ and $k < N_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}1-\rho(\lambda_M,\lambda_k)^2 = 1-\left|\frac{\lambda_k-\lambda_M}{1-\bar{\lambda}_k\lambda_M}\right|^2 &= \frac{(1-|\lambda_M|^2)(1-|\lambda_k|^2)}{|1-\bar{\lambda}_k\lambda_M|^2}\\ &= (1-|\lambda_k|^2)\frac{(1-|\lambda_M|^2)}{(1-|\Theta(\lambda_M)|^2)}\frac{1-|\Theta(\lambda_M)|^2}{\left|1-\bar{\Theta}(\lambda_M)\Theta(\lambda_k)\right|^2}\left|\frac{1-\bar{\Theta}(\lambda_M)\Theta(\lambda_k)}{1-\lambda_k\bar{\lambda}_M}\right|^2\\ &= \frac{1-|\Theta(\lambda_M)|^2}{\left|1-\bar{\Theta}(\lambda_M)\Theta(\lambda_k)\right|^2}(1-|\lambda_k|^2)|k^\Theta_{\lambda_M}(\lambda_k)|^2\\ &= \frac{1-|\Theta(\lambda_M)|^2}{\left|1-\bar{\Theta}(\lambda_M)\Theta(\lambda_k)\right|^2}(1-|\Theta(\lambda_k)|^2)\frac{(1-|\lambda_k|^2)}{1-|\Theta(\lambda_k)|^2}|k^\Theta_{\lambda_M}(\lambda_k)|^2\\ &\leq \frac{1-|\Theta(\lambda_M)|^2}{\left|1-\bar{\Theta}(\lambda_M)\Theta(\lambda_k)\right|^2}\left(\|k^\Theta_{\lambda_M}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{D},\sigma_M)}-1\right)\\ &\leq \frac{1}{(1-\kappa_M)^2}\left(\|k^\Theta_{\lambda_M}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{D},\sigma_M)}-1\right)\to 0 \text{ as } M\to\infty,\end{aligned}$$

since $1 \leq \|k^\Theta_{\lambda_N}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{D},\sigma_N)} \leq \sup_z\|k^\Theta_z\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{D},\sigma_N)}$ and, by hypothesis, we have that $\kappa_N \to 0$ and $\mathcal{R}_\Theta(\sigma_N)\to 1$. Hence, it is possible to choose an integer M_0 sufficiently large compared to N_0 so that for all $M > M_0$

$$\rho(\lambda_k,\lambda_M) > (1-\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{N_0}} \quad k < N_0$$

which implies that

$$\prod_{k<N_0} \rho(\lambda_k, \lambda_M) > 1 - \varepsilon. \tag{3.8}$$

Now given $\varepsilon > 0$, first select N_0 as above in (3.7). Then select M_0 so that (3.6) holds. Then for any $M > M_0$ by writing the product

$$\prod_{k\neq M} \rho(\lambda_k, \lambda_M) = \prod_{k<N_0} \rho(\lambda_k, \lambda_M) \prod_{k>N_0, k\neq M} \rho(\lambda_k, \lambda_M) > (1-\varepsilon)^2.$$

For the first term in the product we have used (3.8) to conclude that it is greater than $1-\varepsilon$. And for M sufficiently large, by (3.7), we have that the second term in the product is greater than $1-\varepsilon$ as well. Hence, B is thin as claimed. □

4. Algebra Version

We now compare the model-space version of our results with an algebra version. Theorem 3.5 requires that our inner function satisfy $\Theta(\lambda_n) \to 0$ for a thin interpolating sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ to be an AIS_{K_Θ} sequence. Letting B denote the Blaschke product corresponding to the sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$, denoting the algebra of continuous functions on the unit circle by C, and letting $H^\infty + C = \{f + g : f \in H^\infty, g \in C\}$ (see [21] for more on this algebra), we can express this condition in the following way: $\Theta(\lambda_n) \to 0$ if and only if $\overline{B}\Theta \in H^\infty + C$. In other words, if and only if B divides Θ in $H^\infty + C$, [2, 11].

We let $\mathcal{B}$ be a Douglas algebra; that is, a uniformly closed subalgebra of L^∞ containing H^∞. It will be helpful to use the maximal ideal space of our algebra. Throughout $M(\mathcal{B})$ denotes the maximal ideal space of the algebra $\mathcal{B}$; that is, the set of nonzero continuous multiplicative linear functionals on $\mathcal{B}$.

We now consider thin sequences in uniform algebras. This work is closely connected to the study of such sequences in general uniform algebras (see [9]) and the special case $B = H^\infty$ is considered in [13]. With the weak-$\star$ topology, $M(\mathcal{B})$ is a compact Hausdorff space. In interpreting our results below, it is important to recall that each $x \in M(H^\infty)$ has a unique extension to a linear functional of norm one and, therefore, we may identify $M(\mathcal{B})$ with a subset of $M(H^\infty)$. In this context, the condition we will require (see Theorem 4.5) for an $EIS_{\mathcal{B}}$ sequence to be the same as an $AIS_{\mathcal{B}}$ sequence is that the sequence be thin near $M(\mathcal{B})$. We take the following as the definition (see [22]):

Definition 4.1. *An interpolating sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ with corresponding Blaschke product b is said to be* thin near $M(\mathcal{B})$ *if for any $0 < \eta < 1$ there is a factorization $b = b_1 b_2$ with b_1 invertible in $\mathcal{B}$ and*

$$|b_2'(\lambda_n)|(1 - |\lambda_n|^2) > \eta$$

for all n such that $b_2(\lambda_n) = 0$.

We will be interested in two related concepts that a sequence can have. We first introduce a norm on a sequence $\{a_n\} \in \ell^\infty$ that is induced by a second sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ and a set $\mathcal{O} \supset M(\mathcal{B})$ that is open in $M(H^\infty)$. Set $I_{\mathcal{O}} = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : \lambda_n \in \mathcal{O}\}$. Then we define

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{O},\ell^\infty} = \sup\{|a_n| : n \in I_{\mathcal{O}}\}.$$

Definition 4.2. *A Blaschke sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ is an* eventual 1-interpolating sequence in a Douglas algebra $\mathcal{B}$, *denoted $EIS_{\mathcal{B}}$, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an open set $\mathcal{O} \supset M(\mathcal{B})$ such that for each $\{a_n\} \in \ell^\infty$ there exists $f_{\mathcal{O},a} \in H^\infty$ with*

$$f_{\mathcal{O},a}(\lambda_n) = a_n \text{ for } \lambda_n \in \mathcal{O} \text{ and } \|f_{\mathcal{O},a}\|_\infty \leq (1+\varepsilon)\|a\|_{\mathcal{O},\ell^\infty}.$$

Definition 4.3. *A Blaschke sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a* strong asymptotic interpolating sequence in a Douglas algebra $\mathcal{B}$, *denoted $AIS_{\mathcal{B}}$, if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an open set $\mathcal{O} \supset M(\mathcal{B})$ such that for all sequences $\{a_n\} \in \ell^\infty$ there exists a function $G_{\mathcal{O},a} \in H^\infty$ such that $\|G_{\mathcal{O},a}\|_\infty \leq \|a\|_{\mathcal{O},\ell^\infty}$ and*

$$\|\{G_{\mathcal{O},a}(\lambda_n) - a_n\}\|_{\mathcal{O},\ell^\infty} < \varepsilon\|a\|_{\mathcal{O},\ell^\infty}.$$

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a Douglas algebra. Let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be a Blaschke sequence of points in $\mathbb{D}$. Then $\{\lambda_n\}$ is an $EIS_{\mathcal{B}}$ sequence if and only if $\{\lambda_n\}$ is an $AIS_{\mathcal{B}}$.*

Proof. If a sequence is an $EIS_{\mathcal{B}}$, then it is trivially $AIS_{\mathcal{B}}$, for given $\varepsilon > 0$ we may take $G_{N,a} = \frac{f_{N,a}}{(1+\varepsilon)}$.

For the other direction, suppose $\{\lambda_n\}$ is an $AIS_{\mathcal{B}}$ sequence. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and let $\varepsilon' < \frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}$. Let $\mathcal{O} \supset M(\mathcal{B})$ denote the open set we obtain from the definition of $AIS_{\mathcal{B}}$ corresponding to ε'. Reordering the points of the sequence in $\mathcal{O}$ so that they begin at $n = 1$ and occur in the same order, we let $T : H^\infty \to \ell^\infty$ be defined by $T(g) = \{g(\lambda_n)\}$. We let $y_{\mathcal{O}}$ denote the corresponding reordered sequence. Then T is a bounded linear operator between Banach spaces, so we may use Proposition 3.3 to choose $f \in H^\infty$ so that $Tf = y_{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\|f\| < \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon'}\|y_{\mathcal{O}}\|_{\ell^\infty} < (1+\varepsilon)\|y\|_{\mathcal{O},\ell^\infty}$ to complete the proof. □

Letting $\overline{B}$ denote the set of functions with conjugate in B, we mention one more set of equivalences. In [22, Theorem 1] Sundberg and Wolff showed that an interpolating sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ is thin near $M(\mathcal{B})$ if and only if for any bounded sequence of complex numbers $\{w_n\}$ there exists a function in $f \in H^\infty \cap \overline{B}$ such that $f(\lambda_n) = w_n$ for all n.

Finally, we note that Earl ([5, Theorem 2] or [6]) proved that given an interpolating sequence for the algebra H^∞ satisfying

$$\inf_n \prod_{j \neq n} \left| \frac{z_j - z_n}{1 - \overline{z_j} z_n} \right| \geq \delta > 0$$

then for any bounded sequence $\{\omega_n\}$ and

$$M > \frac{2 - \delta^2 + 2(1-\delta^2)^{1/2}}{\delta^2} \sup_n |\omega_n| \tag{4.1}$$

there exists a Blaschke product B and a real number α so that

$$Me^{i\alpha}B(\lambda_j) = \omega_j \text{ for all } j.$$

Using the results of Sundberg-Wolff and Earl, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\{\lambda_n\}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ be an interpolating Blaschke sequence and let $\mathcal{B}$ be a Douglas algebra. The following are equivalent:*

(1) *$\{\lambda_n\}$ is an $EIS_{\mathcal{B}}$ sequence;*
(2) *$\{\lambda_n\}$ is a $AIS_{\mathcal{B}}$ sequence;*
(3) *$\{\lambda_n\}$ is thin near $M(\mathcal{B})$;*

(4) *for any bounded sequence of complex numbers* $\{w_n\}$ *there exists a function in* $f \in H^\infty \cap \overline{B}$ *such that* $f(\lambda_n) = w_n$ *for all* n.

Proof. The equivalence between (1) and (2) is contained in Theorem 4.4. The equivalence of (3) and (4) is the Sundberg-Wolff theorem.

We next prove that if a sequence is thin near $M(\mathcal{B})$, then it is an $EIS_{\mathcal{B}}$ sequence. We let b denote the Blaschke product associated to the sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$.

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, choose γ so that

$$\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-\gamma^2}}{\gamma}\right)^2 < 1+\varepsilon.$$

Choose a factorization $b = b_1^\gamma b_2^\gamma$ so that $\overline{b_1^\gamma} \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\delta(b_2) = \inf(1-|\lambda|^2)|b_2^\gamma{}'(\lambda)| > \gamma$. Since $|b_1^\gamma| = 1$ on $M(\mathcal{B})$ and $\gamma < 1$, there exists an open set $\mathcal{O} \supset M(\mathcal{B})$ such that $|b_1^\gamma| > \gamma$ on $\mathcal{O}$. Note that if $b(\lambda) = 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}$, then $b_2(\lambda) = 0$.

The condition on b_2^γ coupled with Earl's Theorem (see (4.1)), gives rise to functions $\{f_k^\gamma\}$ in H^∞ (!), and hence in $\mathcal{B}$ so that

$$f_j^\gamma(\lambda_k) = \delta_{jk} \text{ whenever } b_2^\gamma(\lambda_k) = 0 \text{ and } \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} \sum_j \left|f_j^\gamma(z)\right| \le \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-\gamma^2}}{\gamma}\right)^2. \tag{4.2}$$

Now given $a \in \ell^\infty$, choose the corresponding P. Beurling functions (as in (4.2)) and let

$$f_{\mathcal{O},a}^\gamma = \sum_j a_j f_j^\gamma.$$

By construction we have that $f_{\mathcal{O},a}(\lambda_n) = a_n$ for all $\lambda_n \in \mathcal{O}$. Also, by Earl's estimate (4.2), we have that

$$\left\|f_{\mathcal{O},a}^\gamma\right\|_\infty \le (1+\varepsilon)\|a\|_\infty.$$

Thus, (3) implies (1).

Finally, we claim (1) implies (3). Suppose $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a $EIS_{\mathcal{B}}$ sequence. Let $0 < \eta < 1$ be given and choose η_1 with $1/(1+\eta_1) > \eta$, a function $f \in H^\infty$ and $\mathcal{O} \supset M(\mathcal{B})$ open in $M(H^\infty)$ with

$$f_{\mathcal{O},n}(\lambda_m) = \delta_{nm} \text{ for } \lambda_m \in \mathcal{O} \text{ and } \|f\|_{\mathcal{O},n} \le 1+\eta_1.$$

Let b_2 denote the Blaschke product with zeros in $\mathcal{O}$, b_1 the Blaschke product with the remaining zeros and let

$$f_{\mathcal{O},n}(z) = \left(\prod_{j\neq n: b_2(\lambda_j)=0} \frac{z-\lambda_j}{1-\overline{\lambda_j}z}\right) h(z),$$

for some $h \in H^\infty$. Then $\|h\|_\infty \le 1+\eta_1$ and

$$1 = |f_{\mathcal{O},n}(\lambda_n)| = \left|\left(\prod_{j\neq n; b_2(\lambda_j)=0} \frac{\lambda_n-\lambda_j}{1-\overline{\lambda_j}\lambda_n}\right) h(\lambda_n)\right| \le (1+\eta_1)\prod_{j\neq n}\left|\frac{\lambda_n-\lambda_j}{1-\overline{\lambda_j}\lambda_n}\right|.$$

Therefore

$$(1-|\lambda_n|^2)|b_2'(\lambda_n)| = \prod_{j\neq n: b_2(\lambda_j)=0}\left|\frac{\lambda_n-\lambda_j}{1-\overline{\lambda_j}\lambda_n}\right| \ge 1/(1+\eta_1) > \eta.$$

Now because we assume that $\{\lambda_n\}$ is interpolating, the Blaschke product $b = b_1 b_2$ with zeros at $\{\lambda_n\}$ will vanish at $x \in M(H^\infty)$ if and only if x lies in the closure of the zeros of $\{\lambda_n\}$, [12, p. 206] or [8, p. 379]. Now, if we choose $\mathcal{V}$ open in $M(H^\infty)$ with $M(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{V} \subset \overline{\mathcal{V}} \subset \mathcal{O}$, then b_1 has no zeros in $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathbb{D}$ and, therefore, no point of $M(\mathcal{B})$ can lie in the closure of the zeros of b_1. So b_1 has no zeros on $M(\mathcal{B})$. Thus we see that b_1 is bounded away from zero on $M(\mathcal{B})$ and, consequently, b_1 is invertible in $\mathcal{B}$. □

We note that we do not need the full assumption that b is interpolating; it is enough to assume that b does not vanish identically on a Gleason part contained in $M(\mathcal{B})$. Our goal, however, is to illustrate the difference in the Hilbert space and uniform algebra setting and so we have stated the most important setting for our problem.

References

[1] Jim and McCarthy Agler John E., *Pick interpolation and Hilbert function spaces*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 44, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. ↑2

[2] Sheldon Axler and Pamela Gorkin, *Divisibility in Douglas algebras*, Michigan Math. J. **31** (1984), no. 1, 89–94. ↑14

[3] I. Chalendar, E. Fricain, and D. Timotin, *Functional models and asymptotically orthonormal sequences*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **53** (2003), no. 5, 1527–1549. ↑1, 3, 4, 6, 11

[4] Sun Yung A. Chang, *A characterization of Douglas subalgebras*, Acta Math. **137** (1976), no. 2, 82–89. ↑2

[5] J. P Earl, *On the interpolation of bounded sequences by bounded functions*, J. London Math. Soc. **2** (1970), 544–548. ↑15

[6] J. P. Earl, *A note on bounded interpolation in the unit disc*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **13** (1976), no. 3, 419–423. ↑15

[7] Emmanuel Fricain, *Bases of reproducing kernels in model spaces*, J. Operator Theory **46** (2001), no. 3, suppl., 517–543. ↑3

[8] John B. Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 96, Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1981. ↑17

[9] Pamela Gorkin and Raymond Mortini, *Asymptotic interpolating sequences in uniform algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **67** (2003), no. 2, 481–498. ↑2, 14

[10] Pamela Gorkin, Sandra Pott, and Brett Wick, *Thin Sequences and Their Role in H^p Theory, Model Spaces, and Uniform Algebras*, Revista Matemática Iberoamericana (to appear). ↑1, 2, 6

[11] Carroll Guillory, Keiji Izuchi, and Donald Sarason, *Interpolating Blaschke products and division in Douglas algebras*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A **84** (1984), no. 1, 1–7. ↑14

[12] Kenneth Hoffman, *Banach spaces of analytic functions*, Prentice-Hall Series in Modern Analysis, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1962. ↑17

[13] Takuya Hosokawa, Keiji Izuchi, and Dechao Zheng, *Isolated points and essential components of composition operators on H^∞*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), no. 6, 1765–1773, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9939-01-06233-5. ↑14

[14] Michael T. Lacey, Eric T. Sawyer, Chun-Yen Shen, Ignacio Uriarte-Tuero, and Brett D. Wick, *Two Weight Inequalities for the Cauchy Transform from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{C}_+$*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu (2014), 1–43, submitted, available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1310.4820. ↑11

[15] Donald E. Marshall, *Subalgebras of L^∞ containing H^∞*, Acta Math. **137** (1976), no. 2, 91–98. ↑2

[16] F. Nazarov and A. Volberg, *The Bellman function, the two-weight Hilbert transform, and embeddings of the model spaces K_θ*, J. Anal. Math. **87** (2002), 385–414. Dedicated to the memory of Thomas H. Wolff. ↑11

[17] Artur Nicolau, Joaquim Ortega-Cerda, and Kristian Seip, *The constant of interpolation*, Pacific J. Math. **213** (2004), no. 2, 389–398. ↑

[18] N. K. Nikol′skiĭ, *Treatise on the shift operator*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 273, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986. Spectral function theory; With an appendix by S. V. Hruščev [S. V. Khrushchëv] and V. V. Peller; Translated from the Russian by Jaak Peetre. ↑5

[19] Stefanie Petermichl, Sergei Treil, and Brett D. Wick, *Carleson potentials and the reproducing kernel thesis for embedding theorems*, Illinois J. Math. **51** (2007), no. 4, 1249–1263. ↑5

[20] H. S. Shapiro and A. L. Shields, *On some interpolation problems for analytic functions*, Amer. J. Math. **83** (1961), 513–532. ↑2

[21] Donald Sarason, *Algebras of functions on the unit circle*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **79** (1973), 286–299. ↑14

[22] C. Sundberg and T. Wolff, *Interpolating sequences for QA_B*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (1983), 551–581. ↑14, 15

[23] A. L. Vol′berg, *Two remarks concerning the theorem of S. Axler, S.-Y. A. Chang and D. Sarason*, J. Operator Theory **7** (1982), no. 2, 209–218. ↑3

[24] Thomas H. Wolff, *Two algebras of bounded functions*, Duke Math. J. **49** (1982), no. 2, 321–328. ↑1

Pamela Gorkin, Department of Mathematics, Bucknell University, Lewisburg, PA USA 17837

E-mail address: pgorkin@bucknell.edu

Brett D. Wick, School of Mathematics, Georgia Institute of Technology, 686 Cherry Street, Atlanta, GA USA 30332-0160

E-mail address: wick@math.gatech.edu